

Limited time only.  
Call 0333 014 2017 to claim our offer rate.

NewScientist Jobs

SUBSCRIBE & SAVE 45%  
MANAGE MY ACCOUNT »  
STUDENT »  
GIVE A GIFT »

Limited time only.  
Call 0333 014 2017 to claim our offer rate.

NewScientist Jobs

Limited time only.  
Call 0333 014 2017 to claim our offer rate.

NewScientist Jobs

NewScientist

Physics & Math



search New Scientist

Go»

Log out

My New Scientist

Home News In-Depth Articles Opinion CultureLab Galleries Topic Guides Last Word Subscribe Dating

Look for Science Jobs

SPACE TECH ENVIRONMENT HEALTH LIFE PHYSICS&MATH SCIENCE IN SOCIETY

Cookies & Privacy

My New Scientist

Home | Physics & Math | Space | News

## M-theory: Doubts linger over godless multiverse

14 September 2010 by [Kate McAlpine](#)  
Magazine issue 2778. [Subscribe and save](#)  
For similar stories, visit the [Cosmology](#) Topic Guide

STEPHEN HAWKING'S new book *The Grand Design* sparked a furore over whether physics can be used to disprove the existence of God. But few have noted that the idea at the core of the book, M-theory, is the subject of an ongoing scientific debate – specifically over the very aspect of the theory that might scrap the need for a divine creator.

That the laws of nature in our universe are finely tuned for life seems miraculous, leading some to invoke divine involvement. But if there is a [multiverse](#) out there – a multitude of universes, each with its own laws of physics – then the conditions we observe may not be unique.

Hawking suggests that M-theory, the leading interpretation of string theory, calls for a multiverse. Others are divided over the strength of this link. "My own opinion is that we don't understand the theory well enough to be able to say whether there is one single universe or a multitude of universes," says M-theorist [Michael Duff](#) of Imperial College London.

String theory's grand claim was that it would be able to unite quantum mechanics with general relativity. Until the mid-1990s, however, five different versions of it, each featuring 10 spatial dimensions, were vying with each other, along with a sixth model known as 11-dimensional super-membrane theory. M-theory [stitched these six theories together](#) into one overarching theory. But while these six areas are fairly well fleshed out in M-theory, other parts of the theory are threadbare.

One major gap is how and where the seven extra spatial dimensions, beyond the three we experience, are hidden. "The conventional view is that the extra dimensions are very small," Duff says. Alternatively, our universe could exist within a "bulk" that contains the extra spatial dimensions.

A multiverse is compatible with both pictures, so some versions of M-theory are constructed to include a multiverse. However, some theorists argue that being compatible with M-theory does not make a multiverse essential. Duff adds that with our current patchy understanding of M-theory, the multiverse seems plausible enough, but it might not work if we could see the bigger picture. Even then, assuming multiple universes remain mathematically possible, there would still be no proof that they are actually present.

For now, it is hard enough to test string theory, let alone M-theory. Two weeks ago, Duff and his colleagues made some progress by using string theory to

Tweet 0
 +1 0
 +1 0
 Share 51
 +51



Faithful to multiple universes (Image: Fredrick M. Brown/Getty)

### This week's issue

Subscribe



02 May 2015

ADVERTISEMENT

ADVERTISEMENT

# Freelance Writer Wanted

To assist on a paper on objective thinking. Uncover the secrets of consciousness [objective thinking] by a genius using simple logic

make predictions about the behaviour of entangled quantum bits (*Physical Review Letters*, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.100507). This demonstrates that aspects of string theory can be tested in the laboratory, but won't reveal if it is "the right theory to describe all the elementary particles, the big bang – the 'grand design' as Stephen describes it", says Duff.

"It's dangerous to pin your beliefs on any theory of physics," Duff adds, "because it might turn out to be wrong. But if Stephen wants to stick his neck out, I wish him good luck."



From issue 2778 of New Scientist magazine, page 12.

As a subscriber, you have unlimited access to our online archive.

Why not [browse past issues](#) of New Scientist magazine?

Tweet 0
 +1 0
 Share 51
 + 51

#### MORE FROM NEW SCIENTIST



**The human universe: If aliens exist, do they know we're here?**



**Dark eaters: The animals living an alternative life**



**I'm chasing the shadows of paranormal experience**



**The human universe: Does consciousness create reality?**

#### PROMOTED STORIES



**What Happened to The Cast of 'Little House on the Prairie'?**  
(Answers.com)



**REVEALED: Carol McGiffin Diagnosed with Stage 3 Cancer**  
(Entertainment Daily)



**The World's Most Evil Fish Invades Britain**  
(Scribol)



**The Beauty Of These News Anchors Will Drop Your Jaw**  
(Check This, Yo!)

Recommended by Outbrain

If you would like to **reuse any content** from New Scientist, either in print or online, please **contact the syndication** department first for permission. New Scientist does not own rights to photos, but there are a [variety of licensing options](#) available for use of articles and graphics we own the copyright to.



**Continuous Manufacturing and Crystallisation (CMAC) now recruiting!**



**Continuous Manufacturing and Crystallisation (CMAC) now recruiting!**



NewScientist Jobs

**Spring into action**

£599



NewScientist Jobs

#### More Latest news

› **Copy your rivals if you want to win the World Cup or become CEO**



16:13 29 April 2015  
Football managers and people climbing the corporate ladder face choices about how to chase the ultimate prize.

Now game theory suggests what's best

› **Biggest dark matter detector lies in wait for antisocial WIMPs**



12:00 23 April 2015  
The world's most sensitive dark matter detector is poised to join the hunt for WIMPs, the world's most elusive particles

› **The greatest physics theorem you've never heard of**



20:00 22 April 2015  
This concept's played a greater part in physics than relativity and quantum theory, so why has the great woman behind it not achieved Einstein's fame?

achieved Einstein's fame?

› **Proto quantum computer inspired by Victorians gets a speed boost**



19:00 17 April 2015  
A new approach has sped up a quantum device that exploits collisions between particles, signalling that the method may have yet more

mileage in it

› [see all related stories](#)

#### Most read

› **Black lives matter: premature deaths skew US election results**

› **Curiosity Mars rover takes a rock along for the ride**

› **Predatory cockroach from dinosaur era**



**Break through with your science career**

- found trapped in amber
- › Womb milk nourishes human embryo during first weeks of pregnancy
- › Female ejaculation comes in two forms, scientists find

FOLLOW US

› Get editors' picks in your social streams



ADVERTISEMENT

Continuous Manufacturing and Crystallisation (CMAC) now recruiting!

CMAC

Continuous Manufacturing and Crystallisation (CMAC) now recruiting!

CMAC

Continuous Manufacturing and Crystallisation (CMAC) now recruiting!

CMAC



Break through with your science career

Back to top ▲

search New Scientist

Go»

Log out

About us

- New Scientist Syndication
- Recruitment Advertising
- Staff at New Scientist
- Advertise
- RBI Jobs

User Help

- Contact Us
- FAQ / Help
- Disclaimer
- Ts & Cs
- Cookies
- Privacy Policy

Subscriptions

- Subscribe
- Renew
- Gift subscription
- Student Subscription
- Back issues
- The Collection
- The Anti-Zoo

Links

- Site Map
- Browse all articles
- Magazine archive
- NewScientistJobs
- The Last Word
- RSS Feeds
- Online Store
- iOS & Android apps
- Low-bandwidth site

Science Jobs

- Search all Jobs
- Biology Jobs
- Chemistry Jobs
- Clinical Jobs
- Earth & Environment Jobs
- Engineering Jobs
- Maths & IT Jobs
- Careers Advice

